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This is a collection of data on the construction operation and performance of the two image dissector cameras. Some of this data is useful in deciding whether certain shortcomings are significant for a given application and if so how to compensate for them.

## INTRODUCTION:

The follwoing is a collection of bits and pieces of information about the two image dissector cameras attached to the computers (PDP-6 and PDP-10) of the Artificial Intelligence Group at Pruject mac. wost of this data concerns the 'new' 'eye' and the video-processor which can be used to access both 'eyes'.

The new vidissector contains a F 4010 tube manufactured by ITT Industrial Laboratories (ITTIL). Whis tube has a diameter of $4.5^{\prime \prime}(118 \mathrm{~mm})$, a length of $14.5^{\prime \prime}(368 \mathrm{~mm})$, a S-II (Cs-Sb) photocathode with a $3^{\prime \prime}(76 \mathrm{~mm})$ useable diameter. The circular aperture has a diameter of . $002^{\prime \prime}$ (. 05 mm ) and the electron-multiplier consists of 14 dynodes. The claimed resolution is 10 cycles (line-pairs) per mm at $50 \%$ amplitude response, and 17 cycles/mm at $10 \%$ amplitude response. The face-plate has a thickness of $.125^{\prime \prime}$ ( 3.2 mm ).

The old vidissector contains a F4011 tube manufactured by ITHIL. It has a diameter of $1.5^{\prime \prime}(38 \mathrm{~mm})$, a length of $8.2^{\prime \prime}(205 \mathrm{~mm})$, a $S-11$ photocathode with a $1 . l^{\prime \prime}$ ( 28 mm ) useable diameter. I'he circular aperture has a diameter of $.001^{\prime \prime}(.025 \mathrm{~mm})$ and the electron-multiplier consists of 10 dynodes. The claimed resolution is 20 cycles $/ \mathrm{mm}$ at $50 \%$ amplitude response, and 34 cycles/mm at $10 \%$ amplitude response. The face-plate has a thickness of .080" (2.0 mm).

For further information on image dissectors see:
"SPECIAL PURPOSE VACUUM TUBES" ITTIL
"APPLICATIONS NOTE EG, UNI䜣 PROPERTIES OF IMAGE DISSECTORS" ITTIL
"TENTATIVE DATA - VIDISNECTORS - INAGE
"DISSECIOR TYPE F 4010 (or F4O11)" ITTIL
"RESEARCH MEMOS 309, 336, 337, 353, 386 ITTIL

The video-processor was supplied by Information International Inc. and is described in:
"OPERATION MANUAL - IMAGE DISSECTOR CAMERA SYSTEM" III

Further information about the use of our video processor can be found in an appendix to:
"FOCUSING" AI Memo 160

THE EYE: PRINCIPLES OF OPERATION:

The main component of the eye is the image dissector camera (vidis-sector for short). It is an evacuated tube coated on one end with a substance that converts a certain fraction of incoming photons into electrons. By means of a large applied potential and an axial magnetic field most of these electrons are imaged onto an aperture plate (see Fig 1 ). The electrons travelling througn the aperture all come from a small area on the photocathode of approximately the same size as the aperture. These electrons now enter an electron multiplier where secondary emission produces a large number of new electrons as this stream of electrons impinges successively on each of 14 suitabiy coated dynodes. The current in the anode is converted to a voltage which is directly proportional to the illumination faliing on the small area on the photocathode selected.

With only the axial focusing field this small area is in the centre of the photocathode. Two perpendicular radial fields allow one to move the electron image around on the aperture plate thus selecting different areas of the image. Attached to this device is the video-processor which includes the interface with the computer.

The complexity of the video-processor is in large part due to two design objectives:

1. Relative error in an intensity measurenent should be independent of the intensity.
2. The video-processor should not waste time processing very dark points.

The main source of error in an intensity measurement is caused by quantisation - in eflect we are counting electrons 6 The only reason for not actually counting them is that they may arrive at a rate of up to $2^{8}$ per sec). To obtain a relative error independent of intensity we must'count'the same number of electrons for each measurement. This then implies that dark points will require an inordinate amount of time for measurement. A mechanism must be provided for ignoring points darker than a given level at an early stage. The programmer has two parameters available to control these two features:

1. Signal to noise ratio: a parameter which may be 0, 1,2 or 3 indicating a redative error of $1 / 8,1 / 16,1 / 3<$ and $1 / 64$ respectively (nominal). See Tab 1 for effective number of photo-electrons counted at each setting.
2. Dim cut off level: a parameter which may be $0,1,2$
at $2^{-8}, 2^{-7}, 2^{-6}, 7$ indicating a cut off
$\cdots 2^{-1}$ off the maximum permissible intensity (nominal). See Tab 2 for further letails.

A hishly sdematic uiagram of sume parts of the video processor (Fig 1) will ilustrate the operation in more detail. The variable pulse frequency generator at the bottom of the diagram is used to allow measurements of intensities differing by more than the normal 64 to 1 dynamic range of the video-processor and also allows a reference signal to eliminate the effects of light-source intensity variations. The number read by the computer is in effect the ratio of the intensity measured on the photo-cathode and some
reference signal which may ve selected to be the total photocathode current. In this case a change in the illumination will case an equal change in the two factors used in the ratio and the output of the video-processor will be independent of such changes (unless they are too large).

The variable pulse frequency genrator operates by selecting as many pulses as needed from a $5 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{s}$ pulse source. The average frequency can be read on a meter which is $5 \mathrm{ik} / \mathrm{s}$ full scale. These pulses are fed into a 14 bit counter when measurements are being taken.

At the same time the output of the electrom-multiplier is fed into an integrator whose output is atlached to four comparators. These comparators fire when the integrator output reaches a voltage currespondeng to $2^{7}, 2^{9}, 2^{11}, 2^{15}$ photoelectrons through the aperture plate (nominally). These four comparators are used for the four difierent signal to noise ratios, while the $2^{2}, 2^{3}, \ldots 2^{9}$ oits in the time-pulse counter are used in the din-cut-ofl decisions.

Fig 2 illustrates this in more detail. Note that both scales are log . Hrace A shows lae voltage at the output of the integrator versus the count in the time pulse counter for a very bright point. Small crosses indicate the times at which the comparators at the output of the integrator fire. Small boxes indicate the times when the fiip-flops attached to the various bits in the time pulse counter fire. Suppose we had selected a signal-to-nolse ratio 2 and a dim-cut-off level 5. At point $X$ (when tine appropriate bit comes on in the time pulse counter) a test is made whether
the voltage in the integrator exeeds the $1 / 64 \mathrm{~V}$ o level. In our case it does and the point is not dim-cut-off. If we had used dim cut off level 7 the test would have been made at point $Z$ and the processing stopped, the integrator and the counter reset and a dim-cut-off value returned to the PDP-6. In our case integration would have proceeded up to point $Y$ where the voltage level corresponding to our selected signal to noise ratio was reached. The significant value now is the count in the time pulse counter. Note however that to obtain the same output for different choices of signal to noise ratios we need to divide by 4 for every increase in signal to noise ratio of 1. So we divide by 16 . (If we had used a signal to noise ratio of 1 , integration would have stopped at $W$ and we would diviae by 4)

To produce the floating point number required for transmission to the PDP-5 the 14 bit binary number is moved from the counter to a shift register when the selected comparator has fired. It is then shifted left until a one appears in the most significant place (ie. it is normalised), the number of shifts is subtracted from the initial exponent. The initial exponent is preset by the selction of signal-to-noise ratio to simulate the division by powers of 4 described above. In this way a four bit exponent ( 0 to 178 ) and a six bit mantissa ( 00 to 778 ) is retained. Fresently exponents larger than 13 cannot occur. When the lin/log switch on the video-processor is in the lin poswition the right hand half word contains just this floating binary number - written together it ranges from 0 to 13008 .

To facilitate using this number in the PDP-6 it is reproduced in standard format (ie. preceded by a 2 and with the leading bit in the mantissa) in the left half.


This floating point number can tnus range from 0.5 to 1024.0 (0-1300 in the right half).

For many purposes it is wore meaningful to work with the logarithm of the incident intensity. When the lin/log switch is in the $\log$ position the last six bits are modified such that the right half is a 10 bit value of $100_{8}{ }^{*} \log _{2} I$ (since the same flip-flops are used to supply the left half word bits, these too are modified and the left half is now meaningless - actually only the last 3 bits are effected so for some purposes it could still be considered a useful value). The method used to change the value to $\log$ base 2 is to leave the exponent unchanged and to feed the mantissa into a table-look up network which finds a three bit correction to be added. This correction is always less than or equal to 5. The relation between the value obtained this way, J, and the value obtained in the left-half with the switch in the lin position, I, is:

$$
I=2
$$

Thus $700_{8}$ corresponds to 64.0.

When processing is stopedbecause of a dim cut off, the DCF ( 2 bit in the left half word) is set and the value returned to the computer is that produced from the preloaded exponent (ie. it varies with signal to noise ratio and not with dim-cut-off level). The value at which the vidi-sector will just dim-cut-off an intensity
 the system, does in fact substitute the appropriate number dependend on the selected dim-cut-off. Furthermore it is possible to obtain occasionally a value past this point because of the statistical nature of the signal - ie. at the time the dim-cut-off decision was made it appeared that the point was brigat enough (remember that it has only been measured with a signal to noise ratio 0 at this stage) and was on more accurate measurement (ie. this cannot happen when one is using signal to noise ratio 0) found to be darker. Such a value is also replaced by the syste ${ }^{(I T s)}$ with the exact value where dim-cut-off should have occured. See Pig 3 for a summary of some of these facts.

We have now arrived at a stage where we can consider some of the more intricate interactions. Firstly note that it is possible for the time pulse counter to overflow. Ihis will happen when we are using a very low dim-cut-off setting ( 0 for example), a high signal-to-noise ratio ( 3 for example) and are measuring a point dark enough to be near dira-cut-off (a value near 1300 . From Fig3 it can ve seen that it is for this reason that $\mathrm{CFL}=3$ and $\mathrm{DCL}=0$ has much the same effect as CFL=3 and DCL=1. This implies that very dark points cannot be measured with the best signal-to-noise ratio. An overflow will cause buth the 2 and the 1 bit in the left half word to be on (actually the system obtains
these bits in another I/O instruction and patches them in). Proceeding with the case CFL=3 and DCL=0 we find that values between 1200 and 1300 (approx.)(B) Fig 2) will cause both the dim-cut-off and the overflow bits to be on, while points even darker than 1300 will be caught by the dim-cut-off mechanism and have only the dim-cut-off bit on. So one can measure to some extend in this range normally inaccesible to the user of CEL=3 :

The other condition under which overilow is likely to happen is for $C T L=2$ and $D C L=0$ where as described above it is possible for a intensity just below the dim-cut-off level to avoid being caught by it now and then because of the noise in this signal yet on more accurate measurement cause the counter to overflow. For most purposes an uverflow should be treated just like a dim-cut-off.

The other extreme condition is too smallacount in the counter. This implies that we nave not measured the time accurately enough (and since it is one of the factors in the ratio,it will cuntribute to the roise). This can only happen when the pulse generator has a very low frequency and we are looking at a very bright point ( one almost bright enough to cause an ANODE WARN condition). Also it is extremely unlikoy except for the $\mathrm{CFL}=0$ and $\mathrm{CFL}=1$ cases. To deal with tais problem the count is tested against 8 for $\mathrm{CFL}=0$ and 16 for $\mathrm{CFL}=1$ and if less, the integration is not stopped, the measurement being taken at a higher signal to noise ratio (actually the tests are also applied in the $C F L=2$ and 3 cases, but they should not often pay off there; if an attempt is made to increment the CFL past 3 an overflow will result).


Tab 1

| SIGNAL/NOISE <br> LEVEL | EFFECI. PHONO- <br> ELECTRONS (NOM.) | $85 \%$ RANG: <br> (NOMINAL) | $99 \%$ RANGE <br> (NONIAL) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $2^{7}$ | $\pm 1 / 8$ | $\pm 1 / 4$ |
| 1 | $2^{9}$ | $\pm 1 / 16$ | $\pm 1 / 8$ |
| 2 | $2^{11}$ | $\pm 1 / 32$ | $\pm 1 / 16$ |
| 3 | $2^{13}$ | $\pm 1 / 64$ | $\pm 1 / 32$ |

Tab 2

It is well known that photocathodes suffer temporary 'fatigue' whem large current densities flow on them, ie. with the high voltage applied and high inciaent light. At a somewhat higher current density the damage is permanent. Damage is probably also possible when no voltages are applied and a higher intensity is applied to the photocathode (?). The effect is thought to be thermal and occurs between 1 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. For our vidissectors the maximum safest current is considered to be $2 \mu \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ( about $80 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ for the whole photocathode when evenly illuminated). A further source of problems excists in the electronmultipl. where too high a gain may damage the last few dynodes, when many electrons travel through the aperture. To protect against both of these raisadventures the output of the electronmultiplier (ie. the signal fed into the integrator) is monitored and the high voltage supply is tripped out (ANODE WARN light comes on) when a current flows which nominally corresponds to $2 \mu \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Naturally this will only protect the whole photocathode if one scans all points on it. (presently there excist some misadjustments in the system indicating that either the ANODE WARN circuit trips out too early or the gain of the chain photomultiplier - integrator is too low. This may indicate that the effective number of electrons counted at a given signal-to-noise ratio is wrong, thus effecting the measured signal to noise ratio somewhat (the discrepency is not large here)).

## DISTOR'IONS

The $X$ and $Y$ deflection values ( $0-40000_{8}$ ) supplied by the PDP-6 are fed into two 14 bit DAC converters which drive voltage to current convertors. These in turn drive a current which may be several amperes through the horizontal and vertical deflection coils. This current is measured by small series resitors and fed back to allow an accurate relation between the voltage and the current. Because of coupling between the coils and a magnetic shield surrounding them their response is rather slow.

Presently the settling time of the coils is considered to be less than $70 \mu \mathrm{secs}$ and this time is allowed to elapse before the integrator and the time pulse counter are started.

The static focus coil carries an ajustable current and allows the formation of a sharp image on the aperture plate. Because of what one might call curvature of field,accurate focus does not obtain when $X$ and $Y$ deflection currents are applied. Reasonably accurate focus can be restored if a small (-ve) additional focus current proportional to $\mathrm{X}^{2}+\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ is applied. This is termed dynamic focusing. The interaction of all of these field and geometric inaccuracies produces distortions in the image which are considerably larger than those found in a typical optical imaging system.

Firstly ons would expect some radial distortion proportional to $\mathrm{X}^{2}+\mathrm{Y}^{2}$, (pin-cushion or drum distortion). Further a twist increasing with $\mathrm{X}^{2}+\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ (with no
optical system equivalent) will de found. Some errors will depend on $X$ and $Y$ only.

A program has been written to measure the position in address-space of a 10 . by 10. grid of points projected on the photocathode. The above errors are present and account for perhaps half of the distortion - the rest is highly uns,ymmetrical and cannot be so easily explained and parameterised. For this reason a 10. by 10. matrix of $X$ and $Y$ values in address space of these points is stored on DSK: and can be used in interpolation. See Fig 4 for a graphic demonstration of these distortions. Also Fig 5 is a program using these tables.

The photocathode has a non-unit'orm sensitivity, aside from very local phenomena such as Beeler's craters (about 25 areas of about 0.5 mm diameter with less than half the normal sersitivity). Aside from very small variations uver the order of a few mm's this non-uniformity varies smoothly and can thus easily be incorporated in the above 10. by 10. interpolation subroutine. See Fig. 7 for a contour map of the sensitivity of the photocathode alone (measured by closing the iris to 20 mm diameter, removing the lens, placing a piece of tracing paper in frost of the iris and producing a more or less uniform illumination of this tracing paper by placing a large white sheet in front of the EYE). This map correlates well with measurements made in other ways with the lens on and the iris closed down. With the iris fully open, a large number of overlapping constrictions cause serious vignetting and a rapid drop of in system sensitivity tiwards the edge of the field of view (see Fig 8)


INTERPOLATION FROM THE STORED GRID:
Fig 5 contains the interpolation formula found when fitting a function $a_{00}+a_{11} x+a_{10} y+a_{11} x y$ to the values at the four corners of a little elemental square in the grid. The photocathode space is measured in mm's from the approximate centre of the photocathode. $Y$ is measured down to allow a right handed system with $Z$ pointing towards the scene from the EYE. The orientation $\dot{\text { as }}$ shown is that which would appear on the monitor (hence inverted in both $X$ and $Y$ as far as the back of the photocathode is concenred). Convolutions with larger support (rather then the 4 points chosen here) could be used, but little extra accuracy can be expected.

Also available is a least squares linear approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=a_{x} X D+a_{x y} Y D+X_{0} \\
& Y=a_{y x} X D+a_{y} Y D+Y_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{x} \simeq 200 . & a_{x y} \simeq a_{y x} \simeq 0
\end{array} \quad a_{y} \simeq-210 .
$$

In fact Fig 4 showing the distortion is writ coordinates found in this way.


TO ESTIMATE $f(x, y)$ WE INTERPOLATE A POLYNOMIAL OF THE FORM: $\quad a_{00}+a_{01} x+a_{10} y+a_{11} x y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) \simeq & \left(1-\frac{\delta x}{\Delta x}-\frac{\delta y}{\Delta y}+\frac{\delta x}{\Delta x} \frac{\delta y}{\Delta y}\right) f_{i, j} \\
& +\left(\frac{\delta x}{\Delta x}-\frac{\delta x}{\Delta x} \frac{\delta y}{\Delta y}\right) \quad f_{i, j+1} \\
& +\left(\frac{\delta y}{\Delta y}-\frac{\delta x}{\Delta x} \frac{\delta y}{\Delta y}\right) f_{i+1, j} \\
& +\frac{\delta x}{\Delta x} \frac{\delta y}{\Delta y} \quad f_{i+1}, j+1 \quad \text { Fig } 5 a
\end{aligned}
$$

EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS IN FOLLOWINO PROORAM:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { XNT }-x_{0} \\
& Y N T-y_{0} \\
& \text { DELX }-\Delta x \\
& \text { DELY }-\Delta y \\
& \text { DMNX }-\delta x / \Delta x \\
& \text { DMNY }-S_{y} / \Delta y \\
& Q N I-i \\
& Q N Y-j \\
& \text { SCLX }-a_{x} \\
& \text { SCLXY }-a_{x y} \\
& \text { SCLYX }-a_{y x} \\
& \text { SCCY }-a_{y} \\
& \text { CNTX }-X_{0} \\
& \text { CNTY }-Y_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

INPUT TO GETVID IS $x x, y y$ iN Mm's.
ONTPUT FROM . . XSUM, YSUM VIDI COORPINATES.
THESE ARE FIXEO $(0-37>778)$ LEFT HALF WORDS (AS NEEVED FOR ,VSCAN)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { OPTGET } & =1 \rightarrow \text { USE LINOAR APPROXIMATLOW } \\
& =2 \rightarrow \text { INTERSOATION } \\
\text { BAD } & \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow B S L=1.0 \\
& =1 \quad \rightarrow \text { BSCL }=\text { SENSITVITI AF PHOTOCATMOOE }
\end{aligned}
$$



PHIDAT: BLOCK 2U.*20. :REFLECTIVITY DATA J GNORE.
NPH: 20 :SIZE OF THIS MAIRIX
F: . 330.0 :EXIT PUPIL TO PHOTOCATHODE DISTANCE
FCLN: 254.0 :FUCAL LENGTH
XSLIM: 100.0 :TETHER ON XS
LAMLIM: 80.0 :DRAGSTER LIMIT UN LAMBDA

AORO2: $0 \quad ; A O * R I O T 2$
DCTSTV: $1000.0: B C=$ STANUARD SUUSIITUTE
VERYSM: 0.1 SMALLEST REASUNABLE FRAME SIZE
MIU: 0.05 SCOVTRACTIUN FACTOR
LARUIM: 30.0 :MAXIMUM RADIUS UF IMAGE SPACE
REDLIM: 0.5 \&REDUCTION LIMIT
OPTION: 1 :DIVISION OPTION
XCENTR: $U$ : $X$ JF CENTRE OF IMAGE OF SPHERE
YCENTR: 0 :Y JF CENIRE OF $I M A G E ~ O F$ SPHERE

XNORTH: $O$ :EXTREMETIES
YNORTH: O
XWEST: 0
YWEST: 0
XSOUTH: 0
YSOUTH: U
XEAST: O
YEAST: O
RADCIR: $u$ :MEASURED RADIUS ON PHOTOCATH
RHO: 0 ;CALCULATEU TRUE RADIUS IN 3-D CMDCF: $u$ :VA_UE GIVEN TO HIM POIVTS


```
CALCOX:
:F
CALCOY:
; F
CMPCRD: SL EDGFLG :COMPIITE INTERPJLATED COORDINATE
    Q CALCJX ;CALCULAIE DMNX.IZIP
    G CALCOY :CALCIILAIE DMNY,JLIP
    SKIPL RI&IZIP :UID WE MIT EDGE ON LEFT
    J NONIOK
:F XX=XNT
    J NPNAAA
NONIOK: M R1.ONI
    A Kl
    CAMGE RI,NNX SDID WE HIT EDGE ON THE RIGHT
    J NPNIOK
;F XX=XNT+9.0*DELX=.01
NPNAAA: SO EDGFLG
    Q CALCOX
    :MARK E!IGE PROBLEMS
    :RECALCULATE SOME
NPNIOK: SKIPL RI.JZIP SDID NE HIT TOP EDGE
    J NONJOK
:F}\quadYY=YN
    J NONAAA
NONJOK: M RI,GNJ
    A RI
    CAMGE RI,NNY %UID WE MIT BOTTOM EDGE
    J NPNJOK
:F YY=YNT+9.0*DELLY-.O1
NONAAA: SU EDGFLG :MARK ETGE PROBLEMS
    U CALCOY :RECACULATE SOME
NPNJOK: SUGS KS,NNX,GNJ,QNI
    MK4.R5
    OGLNERATE POINTERS INTO ARRAYS
    ADLS RA.NNX
: GENEKATE COEFFICLENTS
:F COF1'=1.O-DMNX-DMNY +COFA'= DMNX*OMNY
; }\vec{F}\quad\mathrm{ COF3'=DMNX=こOF4
;F. COF2'=DMNY-SOF4
                INTEKPOLATE
:F XSUM*=F1X<CJF1*XCORD(RS) +CUF2*XIORD(R\triangle)
;F: +COF3*XCOKD(R5+1) +COF4*XUCRD(R4+1)>
gF. YSUM*=FiX<CJF1*YCURD(RS) +COF2*YCORD(R4) S
:F +COF3*YCORD(R5+1) +CDF4*YCORD(R4+1)>
    SKIPN BADJ
    J NOBADJ
:F BSCL**CJF1*3SCRU(RS)+COF<*BSCRD(R4) $
:F +CUF3*BSCRD(RJ+1) + COF4*FOORR(R4+1)
NOBADJ: MOVSS XSUM ;PUT IN LEFT HA_F
    MOVSS YSUM ;PUT IN LEFT HALF
    SKIPN EDGFLG
COMREF: A (P)
    R
```

LIST OF BEELER'S CRATERS ON NEW VIDISSECTOR PHOTOCATHODE

|  | X | Y | Size (mm) | 'Volume' (arbitrary scale) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6370 | 13420 | . 33 | . 16 |
| 2 | 8700 | 12830 | . 25 | . 09 |
| 3 | 9000 | 12280 | . 25 | . 10 |
| 4 | 11080 | 12200 | .25 by .40 | . 08 |
| 5 | 10460 | 11900 | .25 by .33 | . 10 |
| 6 | 12270 | 11700 | . 28 | . 13 |
| 7 | 10570 | 11520 | . 17 | . 09 |
| 8 | 10080 | 11110 | . 18 | . 10 |
| 9 | 8770 | 9850 | . 35 | . 14 |
| 10 | 6700 | 9280 | .12 by . 33 | . 11 |
| 11 | 11720 | 8940 | . 12 | . 09 |
| 12 | 5620 | 8800 | . 17 | . 10 |
| 13 | 13580 | 7980 | . 50 | . 70 |
| 14 | 5280 | 7730 | .12 by .40 | . 10 |
| 15 | 6560 | 7350 | .08 by .20 | . 07 |
| 16 | 9900 | 6870 | . 33 | . 08 |
| 17 | 12460 | 6570 | . 33 | . 09 |
| 18 | 9060 | 6460 | . 25 | . 08 |
| 19 | 9030 | 5760 | . 08 by . 25 | . 10 |
| 20 | 4040 | 5680 | . 16 by . 40 | . 08 |
| 21 | 5620 | 4920 | .16 by .40 | . 08 |
| 22 | 4930 | 4820 | . 16 by .85 | . 16 |

THE OLD VIDISSECTOR:

The old vidissector can be accessed through the videoprocessor much the same way as the new one. Mayor differences excist in noise levels:

CFL Noise (variance)/signal(average)
NEW OLD

| 0 | .11 | .25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | .054 | .13 |
| 2 | .028 | .068 |
| 3 | .016 | .036 |

There also excist ofnumber of interactions in the wiring causing both measured intensity and noise to vary with the state of other electrical equipment near by.

The lens is a Canon Fl. 3 Zoom lens ( $15 \mathrm{~mm}-120 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (which seems to have too small a field of view for the photocathode). The zoom and focus servo data can be found in the following table:

| Function | Input Output | Limits $_{i}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Zoom | 25 | 63 | 2013. -4000. |
| Focus | 26 | 64 | $850 .-4000$. |

Because of the noisyness of the Monitor-display it was not possible to set up experiments to measure the parameters fuund for the new vidissector.

The lens is connected to a 1.6 times exppander which changes is field of view to the required 26 mm , its f-number to 2.08 (nominally) and its focal leneth to $24 \mathrm{~mm}-192 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Q BRIGHTEST 1:1.3-8 TIMES MANUAL ZOOM LENS



8 The image is superbly sharp even at maximum aperture opening and especially so when the aperture is closed down. Because aberration correction has been made for vidicon and 16 mm film camera use.
59. Brightest 16 mm zoom lens with f 1.3 speed for entire $15-120 \mathrm{~mm}$ zoom range.

* Constant focus through entire zoom range.

25 Finest color correction by patented "spectra" coating with amber and magenta colors.
8 Anti-reflection multicoating: Prevent loss of lightflares and ghosts to the lens surfaces.

* Most satisfactory performance assured by 30 years of proven lens research and manufacture.

